The correlation between helicobacter pylori Infection, hyperemesis gravidarum, and anemia among pregnant females … Effect of treatment
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to detect the relationship between Helicobacter pylori (H.pylori) infection and pregnancy related disorders: anemia and hyperemesis gravidarum.

Study Design: It was a prospective study. Randomized control study.

Patients and methods: In our study pregnant women between 6-12 weeks of gestation were divided into 3 groups: control group of 144 patients, hyperemesis gravidarum group of 88 patients, anemia group of 128 patients. Seropositivity for H.pylori was studied in each group. H.pylori positive patients in anemia group were divided into two groups and asked to return in second trimester and one group of them recieved treatment of anemia alone, while the other one recieved treatment of anemia and H.pylori treatment and the change in hemoglobin (Hb) levels was detected in both groups

Results: The percentage of H.pylori seropositive patients in the control group was 45.8%, in hyperemesis group 86.4% while in the anemia group was 84.4% ($p$ value< 0.001).The change in Hb in the group which was given treatment of anemia alone was 0.936± 0.325 while it was 1.438± 0.354 in the group which was given treatment for anemia plus treatment to eradicate H.pylori ($p$ value <0.001).

Conclusions: Our study showed strong association between H.pylori infection and hyperemesis gravidarum and we suggested screening of H.pylori in cases with hyperemesis especially in severe cases. And we founded great benefit in eradication of H.pylori infection with treatment of anemia in pregnant women.
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INTRODUCTION

Half of world population is infected with Helicobacter pylori (H.pylori). Infection is more frequent in developing countries usually acquired in childhood causing symptomless chronic infection, but few cases may experience some diseases e.g peptic ulcers or GIT carcinoma in adulthood[1-3].

Nearly half of pregnant women experienced nausea and vomiting in the first trimester and usually these symptoms are mild and releaved by modifying diet and giving vitamins and fluid[4]. Only 1% of pregnant women are affected by the severe form of nausea and vomiting known as hyperemesis gravidarum resulting in weight loss,electrolyte imbalance and dehydration . The exact aetiology of hyperemesis still unknown[4].

Strong association between H.pylori infection in pregnant women and development of hyperemesis gravidarum. This association is demonstrated by many case control study e.g Golberg et al 2007[5].

Iron deficiency anemia is the most common nutritional disorder affecting billion of people around the world and cause many systemic disorders e.g immune, reproductive and cognitive disorders[6]. 4,4 mg of iron/day is needed in normal singleton pregnancy and supplementation of iron is needed when diet is not enough to meet iron demands per day[7].

Many recent studies suggested that there is positive relationship between H.pylori infection and iron deficiency anemia . mechanisms that have been suggested to clear this relationship include change in the PH of stomach by H.plori infection which lead to decrease PH ,decrease vitamin C in the stomach and sequestration of iron and ferritin by the organism[8].
In this study we studied the incidence of H.plori infection in pregnant women with hyperemesis and anemia, the relation between H.pylori infection and severity of hyperemesis, and the effect of eradication of H.pylori infection on treatment of anemia in pregnant women.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in Kasr EL Ainy hospital from March 2016 to December 2016. Our study was prospective randomized control study containing 360 pregnant women between 18 and 35 years with gestational age between 6 and 12 weeks divided into 3 groups (group A): control group containing 144 normal pregnant women. (Group B): 88 pregnant women with hyperemesis gravidarum. Hyperemesis gravidarum defined as vomiting 3 times or more per day with deterioration in the general condition in the form of dehydration, loss of weight more than 3 kg or 5 percent of total body weight and starvation ketosis proved by positive ketone in urine at least once. (Group C): 128 pregnant women with iron deficiency anemia (IDA). IDA defined as hemoglobin below 11gm/dl with MCV below 80 fl, with one of the following serum ferritin below or equal 25 ng/ml or transferrin saturation below 20.

All patients were subjected to full history and examination and H.pylori was investigated by detection of immunoglobulin G in the serum using one step H.pylori serum/plasma test device its qualitative test using membrane-based immunoassay for detection of Ig G of H.pylori with sensitivity 95.9% and spesifity 86.9% (Rapid diagnostic H.pylori test Kits, Clongene , Hangzhou Clongene Biotech CO, Zehjiang, China ). 5 millimeters of venous blood was collected and centrifuged for 10 minutes and serum was used to detect the presence of H.pylori immunoglobulin G in the serum sample. Although it's simple and cheap test but it cannot differentiate between old and recent infection.

Group A, the control group was subjected to history taking about number of vomiting per day and complete blood picture (CBC). Group B, the study group with hyperemesis gravidarum was subjected to history taking about the number of vomiting, determination of body weight and examination for signs of dehydration and urine examination for ketone bodies. Any patient with multiple pregnancy, GIT disorder, thyroid disease, intracranial disorders, and recent history of antibiotic intake or psychological disorder was excluded from this group. Patients of group C proved to have H.pylori infection asked to come in the second trimester to correct anemia and were divided into two groups. The first group (Group 1) was treated for IDA alone (Pravotin®, Lactoferin-100 mg, Granules for oral suspension, twice daily for one month), without eradication of H.pylori. The second group (Group 2) was treated with iron to correct anemia (Pravotin®, Lactoferin -100 mg, Granules for oral suspension, twice daily for one month) and treatment of H.pylori in the form of 1 gm ampicillin, 500 mg metronidazole and 20 mg omeprazole, all oral treatment twice daily for two weeks.

After one month complete blood picture for both groups was done and the difference between responses of both groups was statistically studied.

STATISTICAL METHODS

The data were coded, entered and processed on an IBM-PC compatible computer using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 17. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Unpaired Student's t-test was used to assess the statistical significance of the difference between two population means and medians in a study involving independent samples.

RESULTS

360 patients enrolled in this study. The mean age among the control group was 25.01± 5.38, among the anemia group 25.56 ± 5.08 while that of hyperemesis group 25.39 ± 5.63 so no statistical difference between groups in relation to age (P-value 0.689) .No statistical difference between groups in relation to gravidity. The control group 1.63± 0.83, the anemia group 1.61± 0.75 while the hyperemesis group 1.59± 0.66 (P-value 0.946) (Table 1).

The percentage of H.pylori seropositive patients in the control group was 45.8% while in hyperemesis group 25.56 ± 5.08 while that of hyperemesis group 35.39 ± 5.63 so no statistical difference between groups in relation to age (P-value 0.689) . No statistical difference between groups in relation to gravidity. The control group 1.63± 0.83, the anemia group 1.61± 0.75 while the hyperemesis group 1.59± 0.66 (P-value 0.946) (Table 1).

The percentage of H.pylori seropositive patients in the control group was 45.8% while in hyperemesis group 86.4% (P-value< 0.001) (Table 1).

Regarding the number of vomiting per day, it was noticed that percentage of H.pylori increased with increased number of vomiting, with no vomiting percentage was 42.5%, vomiting NED (not every day) percentage was 58.3%, 1- times per day was 66.6%, 3 times per day was 68.8%, 4 times per day was 94.7% while more than 4 times per day it was 100% (P-value 0.001) (Table 2).
**Table 1:** Descriptive Data of the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Anemia</th>
<th>Hyperemesis</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>25.01 ± 5.38</td>
<td>25.56 ± 5.08</td>
<td>25.39 ± 5.63</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gravidity</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.75</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.66</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. pylori %</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Number of vomiting in relation to H. Pylori, seronegativity and seropositivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H.Pylori –ve</th>
<th>H.Pylori +ve</th>
<th>p.value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Vomiting</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Mean Hb in both control and anemia groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Anemia</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.Pylori %</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb mean</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.623</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.571</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Effect of different treatment on Hb in H.pylori seropositive pregnant women.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Treatment of Anemia</th>
<th>Treatment of Anemia + H.Pylori</th>
<th>P.value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Hb</td>
<td>9.64 ± 0.588</td>
<td>9.66 ± 0.579</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb- Post ttt</td>
<td>10.51 ± 0.665</td>
<td>11.05 ± 0.373</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Hb</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.325</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.354</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% reach normal Hb (11g/dl)</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The prevalence of H. pylori varies significantly between countries according to socioeconomic standard, geographical distribution and method of its detection being more in developing countries e.g in Egypt 80% while in European countries 20 -30%[9].

It has been noticed that poor reproductive outcome is linked to pregnant women with moderate to severe anemia[10]. Pregnant women infected with H. pylori found to have low Hb level from the start of pregnancy[11].

Nausea and vomiting is the most common disorder affecting pregnancy the majority of cases are mild not affecting the patient general condition but only in 0.5% to 1.5% of pregnancy the condition is severe and known hyperemesis gravidarum. The etiology of such condition not definitely known most of author believed it's linked to high levels of Human Chorionic Gonadotropin proved by increased incidence of hyperemesis gravidarum in multiple pregnancy and molar pregnancy and the improvement occur in such cases when pregnancy is terminated. Other theories link this disorder to high levels of estrogen in pregnancy which lead to accumulation of fluid and change in body PH. This change of body PH and intestinal PH will lead to activation of subclinical infection of H. pylori[12].

Our study took place in kasr El Aini in 360 patients divided into 3 groups first group is control group of 144 medically free patients second group is 88 patients with hyperemesis gravidarum and the third group is 128 patients with iron deficiency anemia. The aim of the study was to compare H. pylori seropositivity among three groups, study the relation between severity of symptoms of hyperemesis - referred to by the number of vomiting- with seropositivity of H. pylori and study the effect of adding treatment of H. pylori in the outcome of treatment of iron deficiency anemia.

In our study, it showed no statistical difference in the age group between three groups the control group 25.01± 5.38, the anemia group 25.56 ± 5.08 while that of hyperemesis group was 25.39 ± 5.63. Mona m et al., 2014 founded no statistical difference in the age between control 26.084.88± and hyperemesis group 26.48 ±4.97[12].

But other studies founded that patients with frequent vomiting and positive H. pylori was older than those who are negative e.g Shirin et al., 2004[13].

Ehab H and Ghada M 2014 founded no statistical difference in age among patients with anemia if they are seropositive for H. pylori or not[9].

We founded that the incidence of H. pylori was more in hyperemesis gravidarum group. The control group was 45.8% while in hyperemesis group 86.4%. Mona M et al., 2014 founded that the percentage of seropositive H. pylori cases in the hyperemesis group was 92% while in the control group 54%[12]. Melih et al., 2011 reached the same conclusion in their study in Kahramanmaras Sutu Imam University in Turkey with 80% seropositive cases in hyperemesis group and 35% in the control group[14].

In the other hand Boltin et al., 2014 showed no statistical difference in the seropositivity of H. pylori between hyperemesis group 75% and control group 60.4% P value 0.30[14].

In our study we founded increase in the percentage of H. pylori with increase the number of vomiting (Table 2) that related the seropositivity of H. pylori to the severity of the symptoms. Shirin et al., 2004 founded high percentage of seropositive H. pylori in cases with mild vomiting but no difference in moderate and severe cases[13]. In the other hand Mona M et al., 2014 founded high percentage among cases with severe vomiting as all cases with vomiting more than 4 times was seropositive for H. pylori[12].

The percentage of H. pylori in anemia group was 84.4% while in the control group 45.8%. Weyerman et al., 2005 studied the Hb level in pregnant women and founded low Hb level from the start of pregnancy (-0.25 g/dl; 95% CI: -0.49—0.003) and more decrease in levels of Hb in the course of pregnancy (-0.14 g/dl; 95%CI:-0.38- 0.10)[13].

Eradication of H. pylori with treatment of iron deficiency anemia gave better response than treating anemia alone. We founded that with treatment of anemia alone (54.5%) of patients reached normal Hb values and mean Hb after treatment was 10.509± 0.665, the change in Hb was 0.936± 0.325. But with addition of H. pylori treatment (71.4%) of patients reached normal values and mean Hb after treatment was 11.048± 0.373, the change in Hb was 1.438± 0.354 Ehab H and Ghada M, 2014 founded better response in treatment of anemia in H. pylori seropositive patients after addition of treatment for eradication of H. pylori. The range of Hb before eradication of H. pylori was 8.4± 0.34 gm/dl, the change in Hb level was 0.4 gm/ dl, but with addition of treatment of H. pylori the range of Hb reach 10.1±.04 and the change of Hb was 1.7 gm/ dl[9]. Also Chen and Lue, 2007 reached the same result although the study was in non-pregnant females[14].

CONCLUSION

Our study showed strong association between H. pylori infection and hyperemesis gravidarum and we suggest screening of H. pylori in cases with hyperemesis especially severe cases. And we founded great benefit in eradication of H. pylori infection with treatment of anemia in pregnant women.
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